

PATENT 10/797,857

Amendment and Response to Non-Compliant Amendment MAILED February 23, 2007

RESPONSERemarks

Claims 1-13 and 23-27 are pending in this Divisional Application. Claims 1 and 23, 26 and 27 are in independent format.

The Applicant has only included the Claims Section herewith.

The Applicant inadvertently included underlining in new Claims 26 and 27. As a result the Amendment and Response filed February 6, 2007, with these claims was deemed non-compliant. These amendments are not intended to surrender any equivalents based on the case law related to the Doctrine of Equivalents.

The Applicant has removed this underlining in New Claims 26 and 27.

PATENT 10/797,857  
Amendment and Response to Non-Compliant Amendment MAILED February 23, 2007

### CONCLUSION

The prior art made of record in the Office Action but not relied upon by the Examiner is no more pertinent to Applicant's invention than the cited references for the reasons given above. Claims 26 and 27 include subject matter allowed by the Examiner. The Applicant therefore submits that all of the claims in their present form are immediately allowable and requests the Examiner withdraw the Section §101 and §103 rejections of claims and pass all of the claims to allowance.

Respectfully submitted.

Lesavich High-Tech Law Group, PC (32097)



Stephen Lesavich, PhD  
Reg. No. 43,749

Dated: February 28, 2007

15 of 15

LESAVICH HIGH-TECH  
LAW GROUP, P.C.  
SUITE 325  
39 SOUTH LA SALLE STREET  
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60603  
TELEPHONE (312) 322-3751